Case 1:12-cr-00934-RA Document 172 Filed 04/03/14 Page 1 of 22

E370xiaP Plea 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 3 12 CR 934 (RA) v. 4 SHU FENG XIA, 5 Defendant -----x 6 7 New York, N.Y. March 7, 2014 8 5:00 p.m. 9 Before: 10 HON. RONNIE ABRAMS 11 District Judge 12 APPEARANCES 13 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the 14 Southern District of New York PATRICK EGAN 15 Assistant United States Attorney 16 JOSHUA L. DRATEL 17 Attorney for Defendant 18 19 -also present-20 Patsy Ong - Mandarin Interpreter 21 22 23 24 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (In open court; case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MR. EGAN: Patrick Egan for the government. afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. DRATEL: Good afternoon, your Honor. Joshua Dratel for Mr. Xia who is standing beside me.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Dratel. afternoon, Mr. Xia. Do you need another minute?

The first thing we are going to do today is I am going to arraign you on the superseding indictment. Have you read the superseding indictment? It's the most recent version of the written charges against you. Have you reviewed that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Just try and speak into the microphone if you can. Has it been translated for you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you want me to read it out loud here in court, the charges, or do you waive its public reading?

THE DEFENDANT: It's not necessary.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are charged with conspiracy to commit immigration fraud in violation of 18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

United States Code, Section 371?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You may be seated.

If you are having any trouble understanding my questions -- I would like to note for the record that a translator is interpreting for you. If you having any trouble understanding my questions either because of the interpretation or for any other reason, please let me know.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I think actually we swore in this interpreter once before, but why don't we do it for purposes of this proceeding as well.

(Interpreter sworn)

THE COURT: I understand that you wish to enter a plea of guilty today. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Before deciding whether to accept your guilty plea, I am going to ask you certain questions. It's very important that you answer those questions honestly and completely. The purpose of these proceedings is to make sure that you understand your rights, to decide whether you are pleading guilty of your own free will, and to make sure that you are pleading quilty because you are quilty and not for some other reason. Do you understand that?

> THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

2.4

25

1 THE COURT: Ms. Cavale, could you swear in the defendant, please? 2 3 (Defendant sworn) 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are now under 5 oath, and that if you answer any of my questions falsely, you 6 may be prosecuted for perjury based on any false answers? 7 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 8 THE COURT: How old are you, sir? 9 THE DEFENDANT: I was born in 1967. I believe I am 10 47. 11 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? THE DEFENDANT: I finished middle school. 12 13 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized 14 for any mental illness? 15 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to drugs or to 16 17 alcohol? 18 THE DEFENDANT: No. 19 THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 20 under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 21 THE DEFENDANT: No. 22 THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs, medicine, pills 23 or drunken any alcoholic beverages in the past 24 hours?

No.

THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?

THE DEFENDANT:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand what's happening here in court in these proceedings?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Mr. Dratel, have you discussed this matter with your client?

MR. DRATEL: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is he capable of understanding these proceedings?

MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Dose understand the rights he would be waiving by pleading guilty?

MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does either counsel have any doubt as to the defendant's competence to plead guilty at this time?

MR. EGAN: No, your Honor.

MR. DRATEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Xia's responses to my questions, my observations of his demeanor here in court, and representations of counsel, I find the defendant is fully competent to enter an informed plea of guilty at this time.

Have you had enough time and opportunity to discuss your case with your attorney, Mr. Xia?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed with him the charges

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and any possible defenses you might have to the charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed with your attorney all the facts about your involvement in this matter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Has he informed you of the consequences of pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorney's representation of you?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, what I am going to do is I am going to explain certain Constitutional rights that you have to you, the rights that you will be giving up if you enter a guilty plea. So please listen carefully to what I am about to say. Again, if you don't understand something, either your attorney or I will explain the matter more fully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to plead not quilty to the charges in the indictment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you did plead not quilty, you would be entitled under the Constitution to a speedy and public trial by jury of those charges. Do you understand that?

> THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: At that trial, you would be presumed to be innocent, and the government would be required to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty. That means that you would not have to prove that you are innocent, and you could not be convicted unless a jury of 12 people agreed unanimously that you are guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

2.2

THE COURT: At that trial and at every stage of your case, you would be entitled to be represented by an attorney.

If you could not afford one, one would be appointed at public expense free of cost to represent you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: During a trial, the witnesses for the prosecution would have to come to court and testify in your presence where you could see them and hear them, and your lawyer could cross-examine those witnesses, and if you wanted to, your lawyer could offer evidence on your behalf. You would be able to use the Court's power to compel witnesses to come to court and testify in your defense even if they didn't want to come to court. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: At a trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but you would also have the right not to testify. And if you chose not to testify, that could not be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used against you in any way. No inference or suggestion of quilt could be made from the fact that you did not testify. Do you understand that?

> THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: If you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict to a higher court. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: As I said before, you have the right to plead not quilty. Even right now as you sit here for purposes of entering a guilty plea, you have the right to change your mind, to persist in your not guilty plea, and to go to trial. But if you do plead quilty, and I accept your plea, you will be giving up your right to trial and the other rights that go with it that I have just described. If you plead guilty, there will be no trial.

All that will remain to be done is for the Court to impose sentence. I will enter a judgment of quilty and sentence you on the basis of your quilty plea after considering whatever submissions I get from you, your attorney and the government, as well as a presentence report prepared by the probation department. There will be no appeal with respect to whether the government could use the evidence it has against you or with respect to whether you did or did not commit this Do you understand that? crime.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If you plead quilty, do you understand that you will also give up your right not to incriminate yourself since I will ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as charged. Do you understand that? Yes?

> THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Are you willing to give up your right to trial and the other rights I just described to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You said before that you read the indictment containing the charge against you. As I understand it, you intend to plead quilty to Count One, the sole count of the indictment. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Egan, could you please state the elements of the offense in question?

MR. EGAN: Yes, your Honor.

To prove this charge at trial, the government would have to prove the following three elements:

First, that the defendant entered into an agreement with at least one other person as to obtain a document as alleged in the indictment.

Second, that the defendant, and at least one other person, that the document that they entered into an agreement

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to obtain was a document prescribed by statute or regulation as evidence of an authorized stay or employment in the United States.

And, third, that at the time that the agreement was entered into, the defendant knew that the form I-94, which is the document I described in element two, which was to be provided to the individual who had been granted political asylum by the U.S. Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services, was to be procured by means of false claim or statement or to have otherwise been procured by fraud or unlawfully obtained.

THE COURT: Mr. Xia, do you understand if you were to go to trial, the government would have to prove those elements beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: I didn't quite understand that.

THE COURT: The elements that the prosecutor read, the government would have to prove those elements beyond a reasonable doubt if you went to trial. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Now, I am going to tell you about the maximum penalties for this crime. The maximum penalty means the most that could possibly be imposed. It doesn't mean it's the sentence you will necessarily receive, but you have to understand that by pleading quilty, you are exposing yourself to the possibility of receiving any combination of punishments E37QxiaP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

up to the maximums that I am about to describe. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: First, with regard to your liberty, the maximum term of imprisonment for this crime is five years in prison, which could be followed by up to three years of supervised release. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Supervised release means that if you are sentenced to prison, after you are released from prison, you will be subject to the supervision of the probation department. There will be rules of supervised release that you have to follow, and if you violate those rules, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial to serve additional time. Do you understand that?

> THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: You should also understand that parole has been abolished in the federal system, and that if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released early on parole, although there is a limited opportunity to earn credit for good behavior. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: In addition to these restrictions on your liberty, the maximum punishment also includes certain financial The maximum allowable fine is \$250,000 or twice the penalties.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gain you received from the crime or twice the loss, whichever is greater. And I am also required to impose a mandatory special assessment of \$100. Do you understand that?

> THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: In addition, I must order restitution to any persons or entities injured as a result of your criminal The indictment also includes a forfeiture allegation conduct. in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States all property, real and personal, that constitutes or derives from proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense, including, but not limited to, a sum of United States currency representing the amount of proceeds obtained as a result of the offense. Do you understand that these are the maximum penalties for Count One?

> I understand. THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: In addition to these, there are also other consequences that may result from a plea of quilty or any conviction for a felony. Are you a United States citizen?

> THE DEFENDANT: I'm not.

THE COURT: No. So you should then understand that as a result of your quilty plea, you may be deported from the United States; and in certain circumstances, deportation may be mandatory. Do you understand that?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you discuss the possible immigration

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consequences of your plea with your attorney?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

> THE COURT: Is the defendant being prosecuted in any other cases in the state or federal system?

> > MR. DRATEL: Not to my knowledge, your Honor.

THE COURT: In imposing sentence, Mr. Xia, federal judges are required to consider the recommendations of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines. The guidelines are a complicated set of rules for determining an appropriate sentence. Judges must pay attention to the Sentencing Guidelines in determining a sentence, but, in the end, the judge is required to give the sentence that she believes best satisfies the purposes of the criminal law even if that is higher or lower than a guideline sentence or guidelines recommendation. Have you discussed the Sentencing Guidelines with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the guidelines are only recommendations to the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So, in this case, the government has written a letter to your lawyer, Mr. Dratel, in which the prosecutors explain how they think the Sentencing Guidelines will apply to this case. That letter says that they believe that the guidelines will provide for a sentence between 24 and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30 months imprisonment. Have you discussed this letter that's dated February 11, 2014 with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: This letter, which we call a Pimentel letter, based on a case United States v. Pimentel, is just the prosecutor's present opinion. So, you should understand that the prosecutors can change their mind, and even if they don't, in the end, the sentence is up to me.

When I review the guidelines, I am going to review it based on the probation department, I am going to consider what the lawyers argue, but ultimately I may come to a different conclusion, and I may think that the case justifies a higher or lower sentence than the usual one provided by the guidelines. So that letter does not represent any quarantee or promise that your sentence will be within this 24 to 30 month range. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: In fact, you should understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to predict what your sentence would be, that they could be wrong. I'm telling you that because no one -- not your attorney, not the prosecutor, not even I can -- know for sure now what your sentence will be because that sentence can't be determined until I receive the presentence report, until I have decided what the correct calculation of the range recommended by the Sentencing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

E37QxiaP Plea

Guidelines is, and whether there is any basis for not following that recommendation. So even if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else has predicted, even if it is different from what you've expected, once you've pleaded quilty, you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise to you or offered you any inducement to plead guilty?

> THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened, bribed or forced you to plead guilty?

> THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Has anyone made a promise to you as to what your sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: Now that you have been advised of the charges against you and the possible penalties you face and the rights you are giving up, is it still your intention to plead quilty to this charge of Count One of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So, how do you plead to that charge?

THE DEFENDANT: I did not understand that.

THE COURT: Do you plead quilty or not quilty to that charge, Count One of the indictment?

| E3/QXIAP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Now, tell me in your own words what you did that makes you believe that you are guilty of that charge.

THE DEFENDANT: I coached some clients of our clients whether it was based on falun gong or based on religion how to interview at the immigration department.

THE COURT: When you say you coached them, what do you mean by that?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, just how the clients were to describe -- how the clients were to describe how they were -- how they belonged to falun gong or how they were persecuted in China when they were a church member.

THE COURT: Were you coaching them to be honest or dishonest?

THE DEFENDANT: To be dishonest.

THE COURT: Did you do this with other people?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you enter into an agreement with other people to do this, to engage in this conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Could you please explain that?

THE COURT: You are charged with conspiracy, with the crime of conspiracy to commit immigration fraud. In a conspiracy, you have to agree with another person to enter an unlawful agreement with another person. You have to knowingly and willfully become a member of a conspiracy. Yes?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DRATEL: I think also -- just that the agreement doesn't have to be express or explicit. It can be tacit or understood among the parties. THE COURT: That is true. Did you agree with other people to engage in this conduct, to coach these people about how to interview at the immigration department? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: When did you do this? THE DEFENDANT: It was the fall of 2007. I'm sorry. It was the fall of 2010 -- 2011. THE COURT: 2011. And where were you? Where did you do these things? THE DEFENDANT: At the Bandrich law firm. THE COURT: Was the Bandrich law firm in Manhattan? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And the clients you mentioned, were they clients of the Bandrich law firm? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: When you did these things, did you know

19

that what you were doing was wrong and illegal?

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: Mr. Egan, are there any additional questions you'd like me to ask?

MR. EGAN: Your Honor, it may already be clear, but to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the extent it's not, just to inquire that the purpose of this coaching was to ultimately have these people be granted asylum.

THE COURT: Is that true, Mr. Xia? What was the purpose of this coaching?

THE DEFENDANT: It was to help them obtain legal status.

> MR. EGAN: That's fine.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Egan?

And the coaching, I believe you said earlier, was to have them be dishonest in their interview with the immigration authorities. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Egan?

That's fine, your Honor. MR. EGAN:

THE COURT: Could you summarize what the government's evidence would be if the defendant were to go to trial.

MR. EGAN: Yes, your Honor.

If the case had proceeded to trial, the government, through witness testimony, consensually recorded phone calls, and documents would have proven that from in or about 2007 onward, a law firm known as Feng Ling Liu Law Firm filed approximately more than a thousand fraudulent asylum applications wherein applicants who had not suffered persecution would, with the assistance of the law firm, file an application claiming that they had suffered persecution on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

basis of falun gong Christianity or China's family planning policy.

People at the law firm would look at someone's sort of demographic category, assign them a claim without talking to them and then fill out an application with a made-up story of persecution.

As the defendant indicated in his allocution, the role of the defendant in this was -- once people were getting ready for their interview -- to coach them on how to stick to their answer, stick to their false story, and, therefore, succeed in their asylum application.

The law firm that he worked for was an offshoot --Feng Ling Liu's law firm in order to evade detection split into two law firms creating the Bandrich and Associates which was a law firm that engaged in the same practices that Feng Ling Liu had, and that's the firm the defendant worked at.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do both counsel agree there is a sufficient factual predicate for a guilty plea?

MR. EGAN: Yes, your Honor.

MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Dratel, do you know of any valid defense that would prevail at trial?

MR. DRATEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does either counsel know of any reason I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should not accept the defendant's plea of guilty?

MR. EGAN: No, your Honor.

MR. DRATEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Xia, because you acknowledge that you are in fact quilty as charged in the indictment, because I am satisfied that you understand your rights, including your rights to go to trial, and that you are aware of the consequences of your plea, including the sentence that may be imposed, because I find that you are knowingly and voluntarily pleading quilty, I accept your quilty plea and enter a judgment of quilty on Count One of the indictment.

The probation department will want to interview you in connection with a presentence report that it will prepare. Does defense counsel wish to be present for any such interview?

MR. DRATEL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I order it not take place without your presence.

MR. DRATEL: Thank you.

If you choose to speak to the probation THE COURT: department, please make sure that everything you say is truthful and accurate. I will read the presentence report very carefully. It will be important to me in deciding what sentence to impose. So if you see any errors in it, call them to your lawyer's attention, and he will call them to my attention before or at the time of sentencing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The sentencing is scheduled for?

THE DEPUTY CLERK: July 11, 2014 at 11:30 a.m.

THE COURT: The government shall provide the probation office with its factual statement within seven days.

Defense counsel must arrange for defendant to be interviewed by the probation department within the next two weeks.

I would like to refer counsel to my individual rules and practices for criminal cases available on the court website which contains some rules regarding sentencing submission. In accordance with these rules, defense submissions are due two weeks prior to sentencing. The government's submissions are due one week prior to sentencing.

Is there any objection to continuing the present bail conditions?

> Not from the government, your Honor. MR. EGAN:

THE COURT: Do either of the lawyers have any reason to believe that Mr. Xia is likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of the community if released?

MR. EGAN: No, your Honor.

MR. DRATEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I find by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Xia is not likely to flee or pose a danger to safety of any other person of the community if released.

Please know that the conditions upon which you are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

released up to now continue to apply, and any violation of those conditions could have serious consequences, including revocation of your bail or prosecution for bail jumping.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: In particular, you must be in this court for sentencing on the date we just set, or, if it's adjourned, at that future date because if you do not show up for sentencing as required, you may be found quilty of the separate crime of bail jumping. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Are there any further applications?

MR. EGAN: Not from the government, your Honor.

MR. DRATEL: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thanks. Have a good weekend.

(Adjourned)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25